

ABSTRACT

Yayang Ragil Prambudi, Student ID Number 135010112111002/10220103,
**POLITICAL LAW ON COOPERATION IN INDONESIA (a
Juridical Study on Verdict of Constitutional Court No. 28/PUU-
XI/2013 about the annulment of Regulation No. 17 Year 2012
about Cooperation)** Thesis, Double Degree Program, Faculty of Law
of Brawijaya University and Sharia Faculty of Maulana Malik Ibrahim
State Islamic University of Malang.

Supervisor: Dr. H. Mujaid Kumkelo, MH.

Co-Supervisor: Dr. Sihabudin, SH.,M.H.

Keywords: Political Law, Examining of Regulation, Cooperation

Constitutional Court (CC) has an authority to examine any regulation toward the constitution of Indonesia. With this authority, according certified with No. 28/PUU-XI/2013, Constitutional Court judged that the regulation No. 17 Year 2012 is in contradiction with the constitution 1945 republic of Indonesia. So, the result from the examination, CC verdict to annul the regulation No. UU No. 17 Year 2012 and reenact the previous regulation of cooperation No. No.25 Year 1992.

Related to this problem, the objective of research are focusing on following questions: 1) what are the factors causing constitutional judges in their verdict No. 28/PUU-XI/2013 to annul the regulation No. 17 Year 2012? 2) How was the form of political law to the verdict of CC No. 28/PUU-XI/2013 about the temporal implementation of regulation No. 25 Tahun 1992?. With these objections, this research is categorized into normative legal research. And legal analysis which used in this research are the gramatical interpretation and systematical interpretation method. The legal data used in this study are regulations about cooperation and the verdict of CC No. 28/PUU-XI/2013.

The result of this study explains that; First, the factors which causing the annulment are (1) Philosophically, the value of this regulation contradicts with the essence of the home economic and cooperation, (2) Juridically, the regulation No. 17 Year 2012 did not suit the constitution 1945 of RI Paragraph 33 verse (1), and (3) Sociologically, The regulation No. 17 Year 2012 put aside the social value as the main basis of cooperation. Second, the political law of the verdict of CC No. 28/PUU-XI/2013 that implementing temporally the regulation No. 25 Year 1992 do not solve the problem of cooperation today. There are sections in regulation No. 17/2012 which can not be found in regulation No. 25/1992. Moreover, the substance of regulation No. 17/2012 literally does not suit the norm of Constitution of Republic Indonesia 1945 Section 33 (1).