ABSTRACT


Adolescence is very vulnerable to the actions that deviate from the norm of society. Family plays an important role in directing children in adolescence because the family is the educational environment for children. Much effort has been cultivated parents to protect their children from negative influences today both in terms of promiscuity, and other immoral behavior. Of a phenomenon that has occurred in these communities makes anxiety and worry for parents. So that not a few parents who choose alternative education offered to children is a boarding school, which today many schools are facilitated by the boarding school as a place of residence of the students. Then, because of the many active students demanding schedules it is no wonder if the behavior violates was not immune from boarding school life, either in the capacity of small, moderate, to severe violations. And one of several causes is due to the intensity of a meeting with parents who are less and less intensity of communication between children and parents.

This study aims to determine whether there is influence between the intensity of the child's communication with parents toward self-regulation in children. How big is the level of intensity of communication which was built by children with their parents and also how much the child can regulate themselves in their daily life at school and at boarding school.

The method used in this research is quantitative method. Data were obtained through interviews and also using Linkert to scale the intensity of communication and self-regulation. The population used in this study were all students of MTs Raudlatul Ulum daughter, as many as 259 children. Sampling technique used purposive sampling by taking the subject of a class VIII student who numbered 92 children who in fact had never stayed in a boarding school for one year and experienced far from their parents.

Results of the data analysis shows the influence of communication intensity towards self-regulation of F hitung = 9,714 with the significance value 0.000, and received a correlation coefficient of 44,7%, which means that Ha is received and Ho is rejected.
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